

Monthly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Tomingley Gold Mine, September 2020

Prepared for: Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Limited
September 2020
MAC160270RP47



Document Information

Monthly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Tomingley Gold Mine, September 2020

Prepared for: Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Limited



Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd

PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300

ABN: 36 602 225 132

P: +61 2 4920 1833

www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Status	Date	Prepared By	Signed	Reviewed By	Signed
MAC160270RP47	Final	28 September 2020	Nicholas Shipman		Oliver Muller	

DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION5

2 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION LICENSE NOISE LIMITS.....7

3 METHODOLOGY9

 3.1 LOCALITY9

 3.2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY9

4 RESULTS 11

 4.1 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R2..... 11

 4.2 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R3/R29 12

 4.3 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R4..... 13

 4.4 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R5..... 14

 4.5 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R6..... 15

 4.6 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R23..... 16

5 DISCUSSION 17

 5.1 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R2 17

 5.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R3/R29..... 17

 5.3 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R4 17

 5.4 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R5 17

 5.5 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R6 18

 5.6 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R23 18

6 COMPARISON OF ATTENDED AND UNATTENDED MONITORING RESULTS 19

7 CONCLUSION 21

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS

This page has been intentionally left blank

1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd (TGO) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Tomingley Gold Mine ('the mine'), Tomingley, NSW.

The NMA involved quantifying the noise contribution of the mine by direct attended measurements to determine mining noise emissions so that effective management and controls can be implemented where required. The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the TGO Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with Conditions L4.2 to L4.7 of the EPL at six representative receiver locations. It is noted that this assessment has been completed as part of an internal noise management initiative and does not form part of the annual noise monitoring program to address conditions of the Environmental Protection License (EPL).

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Noise Policy for Industry (NPI) 2017;
- Environment Protection Licence EPL 20169 (EPL); and
- Australian Standard AS 1055:2018 - Acoustics - Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in **Appendix A**.

This page has been intentionally left blank

2 Environmental Protection License Noise Limits

Historic assessments for the mine categorise receivers into Noise Assessment Groups (NAGs). The NAGs were derived based on ambient noise data that controlled receiver RBLs.

Table 1 reproduces the operational and sleep disturbance noise limits for assessed receivers referenced from the EPL that have been adopted for this NMA and are consistent with historic EPL monitoring locations.

Table 1 Noise Limits, dBA					
Noise Assessment Group	Receivers	Day	Evening	Night	
		LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LA1(1min)
NAG A	R4, R5, R6	35	35	35	45
NAG B	R2	36	35	35	45
NAG C	R3, R29	45	35	35	45
NAG D	R23	43	38	36	46

Note: Refer to figure in Appendix 4 of Project Approval 09-0155 for noise locations. However, these criteria do not apply if the Proponent has an agreement with the relevant owner(s) of these residences / land to generate higher noise levels, and the Proponent has advised the Department of Planning and Infrastructure and EPA in writing of the terms of this agreement.

This page has been intentionally left blank

3 Methodology

3.1 Locality

TGO is located to the south of the village of Tomingley, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the mine are primarily rural/residential and for consistency the naming conventions for each receiver have been retained from historic noise assessments. The monitoring locations with respect to the mine are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1**.

3.2 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise survey was conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Australian Standard AS 1055:2018, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise" and the EPL. Measurements were carried out using Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020. The acoustic instrumentation used carries current NATA calibration and complies with AS/NZS IEC 61672.1-2019-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ± 0.5 dBA.

Both evening and night measurements were of 15 minutes duration. Where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis to calculate the $L_{Aeq}(15min)$ mine noise contribution for comparison against the relevant EPL limit.

Prevailing meteorological conditions for the monitoring period were sourced from TGO's meteorological station and analysed in accordance with Appendix E4 of the NPI to determine the stability category present at the time of each measured sample. This was undertaken to determine applicability of results in accordance with Condition L4.3 of the EPL. Results obtained during non-prevailing meteorological conditions (ie F Class Stability in conjunction with a 2m/s drainage or G Class Stability) are considered not applicable against the EPL criteria.

KEY



MINE SITE BOUNDARY



ASSESSED RECEPTORS



BROOKLANDS UNATTENDED



FIGURE 1 - LOCALITY PLAN AND ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS
TOMINGLEY GOLD MINE EPL NOISE MONITORING

REF: MAC160270

4 Results

The monitoring and assessment results are presented in individual tables for each assessment location.

4.1 Assessment Results - Location R2

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R2 for the September 2020 survey are summarised in **Table 2** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 2 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R2

Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}			
15/09/2020 (Evening)	21:45	68	43	27	35	WD: SE	Traffic 30-68
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <31
Stab Class: E							TGO processing 31-36
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							33
15/09/2020 (Night)	22:00	39	29	26	35	WD: SE	Insects <29
						WS: 0.1m/s	Traffic 26-39
Stab Class: E							TGO processing <29
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<29
16/09/2020 (Evening)	20:00	64	37	22	35	WD: S	Traffic 26-64
						WS: 0.4m/s	Insects 26-28
Stab Class: E							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
16/09/2020 (Night)	23:46	53	38	35	35	WD: N	Wind 32-53
						WS: 1.8m/s	Insects <32
Stab Class: D							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
17/09/2020 (Evening)	21:35	69	42	23	35	WD: E	Traffic 30-69
						WS: 0.4m/s	Insects 23-32
Stab Class: F							Dogs 24-32
							TGO processing <32
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<32
17/09/2020 (Night)	22:00	43	26	23	35	WD: E	Traffic 22-36
						WS: 0.3m/s	Insects <22
Stab Class: E							Dogs 34-42
							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

4.2 Assessment Results - Location R3/R29

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R3/R29 for the September 2020 survey are summarised in **Table 3** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 3 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R3/R29

Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}			
15/09/2020 (Evening)	21:07	86	67	40	35	WD: SE	Traffic 42-86
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <40
Stab Class: E							TGO haul truck 32-38
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							35
15/09/2020 (Night)	22:40	89	65	35	35	WD: SE	Traffic 32-89
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <32
Stab Class: E							TGO processing <34
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<34
16/09/2020 (Evening)	20:38	89	65	34	35	WD: S	Traffic 34-89
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <34
Stab Class: E							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
16/09/2020 (Night)	23:06	84	65	37	35	WD: N	Traffic 34-84
						WS: 0.5m/s	Insects <34
Stab Class: E							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
17/09/2020 (Evening)	20:48	83	63	36	35	WD: NE	Traffic 34-83
						WS: 0.5m/s	Insects <32
Stab Class: E							Wind 32-36
							TGO processing 32-37
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							35
17/09/2020 (Night)	22:38	85	65	30	35	WD: E	Traffic 30-85
						WS: 0.3m/s	Insects <30
Stab Class: E							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

4.3 Assessment Results - Location R4

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R4 for the September 2020 survey are summarised in **Table 4** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 4 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R4								
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA	
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}				
15/09/2020 (Evening)	20:16	50	27	19	35	WD: SE	Birds 28-44	
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <23	
						Stab Class: F	Traffic 23-50	
							TGO Inaudible	
		TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution						<30
15/09/2020 (Night)	23:24	54	25	14	35	WD: SE	Insects <16	
						WS: 0.1m/s	Traffic 16-54	
						Stab Class: E	Livestock 26-34	
							TGO Inaudible	
		TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution						<30
16/09/2020 (Evening)	21:23	50	37	34	35	WD: NE	Traffic 32-48	
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <32	
						Stab Class: E	TGO Inaudible	
		TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution						<30
16/09/2020 (Night)	22:19	49	38	34	35	WD: NE	Traffic 28-42	
						WS: 0.6m/s	Insects <28	
						Stab Class: E	Wind <34	
							TGO Inaudible	
		TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution						<30
17/09/2020 (Evening)	19:41	46	36	33	35	WD: NW	Traffic 34-46	
						WS: 0.4m/s	Insects <32	
						Stab Class: E	Wind 32-36	
							TGO processing <32	
		TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution						<32
17/09/2020 (Night)	23:22	52	29	25	35	WD: E	Traffic 29-52	
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <30	
						Stab Class: D	TGO processing <29	
		TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution						<29

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

4.4 Assessment Results - Location R5

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R5 for the September 2020 survey are summarised in **Table 5** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R5							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}			
15/09/2020 (Evening)	19:55	80	62	44	35	WD: SE WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Insects <44
							Traffic 44-80
							Drill rig 46-52
							Dogs <44
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
15/09/2020 (Night)	23:46	81	62	46	35	WD: SE WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 36-81
							Drill rig 36-49
							Insects <36
							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
16/09/2020 (Evening)	21:43	78	56	44	35	WD: NE WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 44-78
							Drill rig 44-46
							TGO Inaudible
							TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution
16/09/2020 (Night)	22:00	79	60	41	35	WD: NE WS: 0.3m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 38-79
							Drill rig 38-44
							Insects <38
							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
17/09/2020 (Evening)	19:21	79	61	44	35	WD: N WS: 0.4m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 38-78
							Drill rig 38-44
							Insects <38
							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
17/09/2020 (Night)	23:46	79	59	40	35	WD: E WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 42-79
							Drill rig 42-46
							Birds <42
							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

4.5 Assessment Results - Location R6

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R6 for the September 2020 survey are summarised in **Table 6** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 6 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R6

Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}			
15/09/2020 (Evening)	20:42	50	33	27	35	WD: SE	Livestock 24-50
						WS: 0.1m/s	Traffic 32-42
							Insects <24
							TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<30
15/09/2020 (Night)	23:01	47	34	31	35	WD: SE	Traffic 32-38
						WS: 0.1m/s	Birds 35-47
							TGO processing 30-36
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							34
16/09/2020 (Evening)	21:00	43	38	37	35	WD: NE	Wind 34-38
						WS: 1m/s	Traffic 36-43
							TGO processing <34
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<34
16/09/2020 (Night)	22:43	50	39	37	35	WD: NE	Traffic 32-44
						WS: 0.8m/s	Birds 34-50
							Wind 32-36
							TGO processing <34
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<34
17/09/2020 (Evening)	20:24	71	39	33	35	WD: N	Operator 42-71
						WS: 0.5m/s	Traffic 32-40
							Wind 31-34
							Insects <31
							TGO processing 32-34
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							33
17/09/2020 (Night)	22:59	50	32	29	35	WD: E	Traffic 30-36
						WS: 0.1m/s	Birds 30-50
							TGO processing <32
TGO Site L _{Aeq} (15min) Contribution							<32

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

4.6 Assessment Results - Location R23

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R23 for the September 2020 survey are summarised in **Table 7** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 7 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R23							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}			
15/09/2020 (Evening)	21:25	58	49	42	38	WD: SE	Traffic 34-58
						WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <34
						Stab Class: F	TGO reverse alarms <36
						TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution	
15/09/2020 (Night)	22:22	56	45	37	36	WD: SE	Traffic 32-56
						WS: 0.1m/s	Dogs 32-36
						Stab Class: E	TGO processing <34
						TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution	
16/09/2020 (Evening)	20:20	59	47	42	38	WD: S	Traffic 36-59
						WS: 0.2m/s	Birds <36
						Stab Class: E	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution	
16/09/2020 (Night)	23:25	56	43	31	36	WD: N	Traffic 34-56
						WS: 0.3m/s	TGO Inaudible
						Stab Class: E	
						TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution	
17/09/2020 (Evening)	21:07	54	41	34	38	WD: E	Traffic 32-54
						WS: 0.5m/s	Wind 32-36
						Stab Class: D	TGO processing <32
						TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution	
17/09/2020 (Night)	22:21	57	46	36	36	WD: E	Traffic 22-57
						WS: 0.2m/s	Insects <22
						Stab Class: E	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution	

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

5 Discussion

5.1 Discussion of Results - Location R2

Monitoring between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020 identified that TGO was audible during three measurements at location R2, although the estimated mining contribution remained between <29dBA to 33dBA. Therefore, the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min) was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as traffic, insects, dog bark, and wind in trees were audible during the survey periods.

5.2 Discussion of Results - Location R3/R29

Monitoring between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020 identified that TGO was audible during three measurements at location R3, although the estimated mining contribution remained between <30dBA to 35dBA. Therefore, the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min) was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as traffic, insects and wind in trees were audible during the measurements.

5.3 Discussion of Results - Location R4

Monitoring between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020 identified that TGO was audible during two measurements at location R4, although the estimated mining contribution remained between <32dBA to <39dBA. Therefore, the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min) was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as birds, insects, traffic, livestock, and wind in trees were audible during the measurements.

5.4 Discussion of Results - Location R5

Monitoring between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020 identified that TGO was inaudible during all measurements at location R5. The estimated mining contribution remained below 30dBA, and the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min) was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as insects, traffic, offsite drilling, dog bark, and birds were audible during the measurements.

5.5 Discussion of Results - Location R6

Monitoring between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020 identified that TGO was audible during five measurements at location R6. Notwithstanding, the estimated mining contribution remained between <30dBA and 34dBA, therefore the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min) was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as livestock, traffic, insects, birds, wind in trees, and operator noise were audible during the measurements.

5.6 Discussion of Results - Location R23

Monitoring between Tuesday 15 September 2020 and Thursday 17 September 2020 identified that TGO was audible during three measurements at location R23. Notwithstanding, the estimated mining contribution remained between <30dBA and <36dBA, therefore the relevant noise limits were satisfied. Extraneous sources such as traffic, insects, dog bark, birds, and wind in trees were audible during the survey periods.

6 Comparison of Attended and Unattended Monitoring Results

To address Condition 6 of Schedule 3 of the Project Approval, a program to calibrate and validate the real-time noise monitoring results with the attended monitoring results has been completed.

The validation compares monthly attended monitoring results against the closest assessed unattended monitoring location. Currently, TGO has an unattended real-time monitoring terminal installed at the Brooklands property (nearest to R23). **Figure 1** identifies the location of the monitor with respect to the attended monitoring locations. It is noted that the Brooklands unattended monitor is situated 600m west of the attended noise monitoring location R23, therefore, background (LA90) noise levels are significantly lower due to offset distance to highway traffic.

A comparison of mine noise contributions between attended and unattended noise monitoring demonstrates a general consistency between attended and unattended results. It was noted that dogs barking, insects, birds, and highway traffic noise influenced measured noise levels for this assessment. Furthermore, for September 2020, results remained below the relevant criteria for both attended and unattended locations.

Table 8 provides a summary comparison of results between the attended and unattended noise surveys for R23.

Table 8 Comparison of Attended and Unattended Results – R23

Assessment Type	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			Criteria	Mine Noise Contribution	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA
		L _{Amax}	L _{Aeq}	L _{A90}				
		Tuesday 15 September 2020						
Attended	21:25	58	49	42	38	<36	WD: SE WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: F	Traffic 34-58 Insects <34 TGO reverse alarms <36
Unattended	21:30	57	43	38	38	<30		Insects TGO Inaudible
Attended	22:22	56	45	37	36	<34	WD: SE WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 32-56 Dogs 32-36 TGO processing <34
Unattended	22:15	64	45	33	36	<33		Insects TGO processing
Wednesday 16 September 2020								
Attended	20:20	59	47	42	38	<30	WD: S WS: 0.2m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 36-59 Birds <36 TGO Inaudible
Unattended	20:15	57	45	34	38	<30		Insects Traffic TGO Inaudible
Attended	23:25	56	43	31	36	<30	WD: N WS: 0.3m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 34-56 TGO Inaudible
Unattended	23:30	53	39	29	36	<29		Insects TGO Inaudible
Thursday 17 September 2020								
Attended	21:07	54	41	34	38	<32	WD: E WS: 0.5m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 32-54 Wind 32-36 TGO processing <32
Unattended	21:15	47	36	31	38	<31		Insects TGO Inaudible
Attended	22:21	57	46	36	36	<30	WD: E WS: 0.2m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 22-57 Insects <22 TGO Inaudible
Unattended	22:15	57	44	30	36	<30		Insects Traffic TGO Inaudible

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment on behalf of Tomingley Gold Operations (TGO). The assessment was completed to provide monthly monitoring data so that TGO can actively quantify and manage site noise emissions.

Attended monitoring conducted from Tuesday 15 September 2020 to Thursday 17 September 2020, identified that TGO mine noise was audible during measurements at five of the monitoring locations R2, R3, R4, R6 and R23, and remained inaudible at R5 during the measurement periods. A review of monitoring data and operator attended observations determined that TGO contributions did not exceed relevant limits during applicable meteorological conditions.

This page has been intentionally left blank

Appendix A - Glossary of Terms

Several technical terms have been used in this report and are explained in **Table A1**.


Table A1 Glossary of Terms	
Term	Description
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being twice the lower frequency limit.
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the NPI as a single figure background level for each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured L90 statistical noise levels.
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human ear to noise.
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise, the most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the frequency response of the human ear.
dB(Z)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second equals 1 hertz.
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average of maximum noise levels.
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 % of the time.
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.
LAmx	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone during a measuring interval.
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.
Sound power level (SWL)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by : $= 10 \cdot \log_{10} (W/W_0)$ Where : W is the sound power in watts and W ₀ is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.

Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.

Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA

Source	Typical Sound Level
Threshold of pain	140
Jet engine	130
Hydraulic hammer	120
Chainsaw	110
Industrial workshop	100
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80
Elevated speech	70
Typical conversation	60
Ambient suburban environment	40
Ambient rural environment	30
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20
Threshold of hearing	0

Figure A1 – Human Perception of Sound



Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd
PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300
ABN: 36 602 225 132
P: +61 2 4920 1833
www.mulleracoustic.com

