# Monthly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Tomingley Gold Mine, December 2018



### Document Information

## Monthly Noise Monitoring Assessment

## Tomingley Gold Mine, December 2018

Prepared for: Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Limited

Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd

PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300

ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833

www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Status	Date	Prepared By	Signed	Reviewed By	Signed
MAC160270RP28	Final	19 December 2018	Nicholas Shipman	N. Sym	Oliver Muller	al

#### DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.



#### CONTENTS

1	IN	ITRODUCTION	5
2	EI	NVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION LICENSE NOISE LIMITS	7
3	М	ETHODOLOGY	9
		LOCALITY	
		ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	
4	RI	ESULTS	11
	4.1	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R2	11
	4.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R3/R29	12
	4.3	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R4	13
	4.4	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R5	14
	4.5	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R6	15
	4.6	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R23	16
5	D	ISCUSSION	17
	5.1	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R2	17
	5.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R3/R29	17
	5.3	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R4	17
	5.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R5	17
	5.5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R6	18
	5.6	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R23	18
6	С	OMPARISON OF ATTENDED AND UNATTENDED MONITORING RESULTS	19
7	С	ONCLUSION	21

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS



This page has been intentionally left blank



#### 1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd (TGO) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Tomingley Gold Mine ('the mine'), Tomingley, NSW.

The NMA involved quantifying the noise contribution of the mine by direct attended measurements to determine mining noise emissions so that effective management and controls can be implemented where required. The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the TGO Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with Conditions L4.2 to L4.7 of the EPL at six representative receiver locations. It is noted that this assessment has been completed as part of an internal noise management initiative and does not form part of the annual noise monitoring program to address conditions of the Environmental Protection License (EPL).

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Noise Policy for Industry (NPI) 2017;
- Environment Protection Licence EPL 20169 (EPL); and
- Australian Standard AS 1055:2018 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in Appendix A.



This page has been intentionally left blank



#### 2 Environmental Protection License Noise Limits

Historic assessments for the mine categorise receivers into Noise Assessment Groups (NAGs). The NAGs were derived based on ambient noise data that controlled receiver RBLs.

**Table 1** reproduces the operational and sleep disturbance noise limits for assessed receivers referenced from the EPL that have been adopted for this NMA and are consistent with historic EPL monitoring locations.

able 1 Noise Limits, dBA									
Noise Assessment	Receivers	Day	Evening	Night					
Group	Receivers	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LA1(1min)				
NAG A -	R6, R4	36	36	36	45				
NAG A -	R5	37	37	37	45				
NAG B	R2	36	36	36	45				
NAG C -	R3	49	40	40	45				
NAG C —	R29	48	40	40	45				
NAG D	R23	43	39	39	46				

Note: Refer to figure in Appendix 4 of Project Approval 09-0155 for noise locations. However, these criteria do not apply if the Proponent has an agreement with the relevant owner(s) of these residences / land to generate higher noise levels, and the Proponent has advised the Department of Planning and Infrastructure and EPA in writing of the terms of this agreement.



MAC160270RP28

This page has been intentionally left blank



#### 3 Methodology

#### 3.1 Locality

TGO is located to the south of the village of Tomingley, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the mine are primarily rural/residential and for consistency the naming conventions for each receiver have been retained from historic noise assessments. The monitoring locations with respect to the mine are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1**.

#### 3.2 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise survey was conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Australian Standard AS 1055:2018, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise" and the EPL. Measurements were carried out using Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser from Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018. The acoustic instrumentation used carries current NATA calibration and complies with AS IEC 61672.1-2004-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ±0.5dBA.

Both evening and night measurements were of 15 minutes duration. Where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis to calculate the LAeq(15min) mine noise contribution for comparison against the relevant EPL limit.

Prevailing meteorological conditions for the monitoring period were sourced from TGO's meteorological station and analysed in accordance with Appendix E4 of the NPI to determine the stability category present at the time of each measured sample. This was undertaken to determine applicability of results in accordance with Condition L4.3 of the EPL. Results obtained during non-prevailing meteorological conditions (ie F Class Stability in conjunction with a 2m/s drainage 7 or a G Class Stability) are considered not applicable against the EPL criteria.








FIGURE 1 - LOCALITY PLAN AND ASSESSMENT LOCATIONS TOMINGLEY GOLD MINE EPL NOISE MONITORING

REF: MAC160270

#### 4 Results

The monitoring and assessment results are presented in individual tables for each assessment location.

#### 4.1 Assessment Results - Location R2

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R2 for Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 are summarised in **Table 2** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

D-4-	T: (b)	Descrip	tor (dBA re	e 20 µPa)	EPL	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	D
Date	Time (hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
						WD: SW	Birds 52-56
03/12/18	21:45	56	50	40	36	WS: 0.1m/s	Insects 43-53
						Stab Class: E	Distant traffic <43
	TO	GO Site LA	eq(15min) C	Contribution			TGO Inaudible
						WD: SW	Insects 46-55 Distant traffic <39
03/12/18	22:01	82	56	40	36	WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Dogs 52-54 Local traffic 38-81
	T(	GO Site LA	eq(15min) (	Contribution			TGO Inaudible
						WD: SW	Insects 40-44
04/12/18	21:38	55	45	40	36	WS: 0.5m/s	Distant traffic 43-45
					Stab Class: D	TGO crushing plant <36	
	TO	GO Site LA	eq(15min) C	Contribution			<36
						WD: S	Insects <36
04/12/18	22:00	52	43	39	36	WS: 0.1m/s	TGO crushing plant 34-36
						Stab Class: E	Distant traffic 38-43
	TO	GO Site LA	eq(15min) (	Contribution			36
05/12/18	21:45	65	55	48	36	WD: ESE WS: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Insects <38 Wind 38-56
	TO	30 Site LA	eq(15min) C	Contribution			TGO Inaudible
05/12/18	22:00	64	52	46	36	WD: ESE WD: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Wind 42-61 Insects <46
	T(	GO Site LA	eg(15min) (	Contribution			TGO Inaudible

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



#### 4.2 Assessment Results - Location R3/R29

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R3/R29 Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 are summarised in **Table 3** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Data	Time	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)	EDI Limit	Moto orology 1	Description and CDL df
Date	(hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	_ EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dE
03/12/18	21:10	84	67	45	40	WD: W WS: 0.5m/s Stab Class: E	Highway traffic 38-84 Insects <45 Idling highway traffic 42- TGO reverse alarms <3
		TGO Site	e LAeq(15mi	n) Contribu	ıtion		<38
						WD: W	Highway traffic 41-81
03/12/18	22:42	82	63	43	40	WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <41
						Stab Class: E	Idling highway traffic 40-
		TGO Site	e LAeq(15mi	n) Contribu	ıtion		TGO Inaudible
							Highway traffic 38-90
						WD: S	Insects <40
04/12/18	21:00	91	67	40	40	WS: 0.1m/s	Dog bark <38
						Stab Class: D	TGO crushing plant <3
							Idling highway traffic 40-
		TGO Site	e LAeq(15mi	n) Contribu	ıtion		<38
						WD: S	Insects <36
04/12/18	22:53	84	63	41	40	WS: 0.1m/s	Highway traffic 38-84
						Stab Class: E	TGO crushing plant <3
		TGO Site	e LAeq(15mi	n) Contribu	ıtion		<38
05/12/18	21:10	83	65	48	40	WD: E WS: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Wind 39-56 Highway traffic 42-82 Insects <48 Idling highway traffic 38-
		TGO Site	e LAeq(15mi	n) Contribu	ıtion		TGO Inaudible
						WD: ESE	
05/12/18	22:46	81	64	50	40	WS: 2.5m/s	Highway traffic 42-81
							Wind 42-56

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



#### 4.3 Assessment Results - Location R4

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R4 for Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 are summarised in **Table 4** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 4 Ope	erator-Att	ended No	ise Surve	y Results	– Locatic	n R4	
Date	Time	Descrip	tor (dBA re	20 μPa)	EPL	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
	(hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	0,	,
03/12/18	20:23	53	42	40	36	WD: W WS: 1.0m/s Stab Class: E	Distant traffic 39-41  Birds 39-43  Insects <29  Aircraft 40-53
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
03/12/18	23:30	50	39	38	36	WD: SW WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Distant traffic 36-48 Insects 36-38
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
04/12/18	20:14	59	42	33	36	WD: SSW WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Birds 35-56 Insects <32 Distant traffic 32-34 Livestock 34-37
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
04/12/18	23:39	58	39	38	36	WD: SE WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Insects 36-39 Distant traffic 36-58
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
05/12/18	20:23	50	40	36	36	WD: E WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Birds 36-46 Insects 34-36 Distant traffic <36
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
05/12/18	23:22	70	57	51	36	WD: E WS: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Wind 46-67
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



MAC160270RP28

Page | 13

#### 4.4 Assessment Results - Location R5

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R5 for Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 are summarised in **Table 5** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Date	Time	Descript	tor (dBA re	20 μPa)	EPL	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dB
Dale	(hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	weteorology	Description and SFL, db.
03/12/18	20:00	83	66	41	37	WD: W WS: 1.0m/s Stab Class: E	Highway traffic 43-83 Insects <38 Wind 38-41 Birds 40-46
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
03/12/18	23:53	80	62	34	37	WD: SW WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Highway traffic 33-80 Insects <33
		TGO Site	LAeq(15mir	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
04/12/18	19:52	81	64	35	37	WD: SSW WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Highway traffic 38-81 Insects <36 Birds 40-56 Dog bark <38
		TGO Site	LAeq(15mir	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
05/12/18	00:01	80	56	39	37	WD: S WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: F	Highway traffic 36-80 Insects <34 Wind 39-40 Birds 39-50
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
05/12/18	20:00	81	63	38	37	WD: E WS: 0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Highway traffic 36-81 Birds 36-64 Insects <36
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible
05/12/18	23:53	82	62	50	37	WD: E WS: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Highway traffic 46-82 Wind 46-56 Insects <46
		TGO Site	LAeg(15mir	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



Page | 14

#### 4.5 Assessment Results - Location R6

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R6 for Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 are summarised in **Table 6** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Date	Time	Descrip	tor (dBA re	20 μPa)	EPL	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA	
Date	(hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Weteorology	Doscription and of E, abA	
						WD: SW	Distant traffic 38-46	
03/12/18	20:49	46	39	38	36	WS: 0.5m/s	Insects 36-38	
						Stab Class: E	11186CIS 30-30	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	ı) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: W	1 05 00	
03/12/18	23:05	49	36	35	36	WS: 0.1m/s	Insects 35-36	
						Stab Class: E	Distant traffic 36-48	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	n) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: SSW	Insects 26-30	
04/12/18	20:39	53	35	30	36	WS: 0.1m/s	Distant traffic 29-53	
						Stab Class: D	Livestock 29-33	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	n) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: SE	lt- 27 40	
04/12/18	23:15	43	40	39	36	WS: 0.1m/s	Insects 37-40	
						Stab Class: E	Distant traffic 38-43	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	n) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: ESE	TGO crushing plant 34-3	
05/12/18	20:48	69	51	37	36	WS: 2.5m/s	Insects <34	
						Stab Class: E	Wind 34-66	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	ı) Contributi	on		36	
						WD: E	Mind 40 70	
05/12/18	23:08	75	61	54	36	WS: 2.5m/s	Wind 42-70	
							Insects <54	

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



MAC160270RP28

Page | 15

#### 4.6 Assessment Results - Location R23

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R23 for Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 are summarised in **Table 7** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Date	Time	Descript	or (dBA re	20 μPa)	EPL	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and CDL dD	
Date	(hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA	
						WD: SW	Dog bark 43-58	
03/12/18	21:27	66	48	40	39	WS: 0.5m/s	Highway traffic 40-50	
						Stab Class: E	riigiiway trailic 40-30	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: SW	Highway traffic 36-56	
03/12/18	22:25	57	46	42	39	WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <36	
			Stab Class: E	Stab Class: E	Insects <30			
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: S	Highway traffic 37-53	
04/12/18	21:17	53	53 43	35	39 WS: 0.1m/s	Insects <35		
04/12/10 21.17	21.17	55	43		33	Stab Class: D	TGO crushing plant <37	
						Olab Olass. D	Idling highway traffic 36-3	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		<37	
		22:35 64	s4 49 41			WD: S	Highway traffic 40-64	
04/12/18	22:35			//1	39	WS: 0.1m/s	Dog bark 38-43	
04/12/10	22.55			39	Stab Class: E	TGO crushing plant <38		
						SIAD CIASS. E	Idling highway traffic 38-4	
		TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		<38	
						WD: ESE	Highway traffic 46-71	
05/12/18	21:26	73	51	45	39	WS: 2.5m/s	Idling highway traffic 46-5	
03/12/10	21.20	73	51	45	39	Stab Class: D	Wind 46-61	
						Stab Class. D	Dog bark 50-56	
	-	TGO Site	LAeq(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	
						WD: ESE	Wind 46-62	
05/12/18	22:30	70	54	50	39	WS: 2.5m/s	Highway traffic 46-60	
						Stab Class: D	Idling highway traffic 46-5	
		TGO Site	I Aea(15min	) Contributi	on		TGO Inaudible	

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



#### 5 Discussion

#### 5.1 Discussion of Results - Location R2

Monitoring between Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 identified that TGO crushing plant was audible during two of six measurements, with mining contributions measured at <36dBA and 36dBA during the evening and night period on 4 December 2018. Therefore, the relevant noise limit of 36dBA LAeq(15min) was satisfied during this monitoring period. Extraneous sources such as birds, insects, distant traffic, dog bark, local traffic and wind were audible during the survey periods.

#### 5.2 Discussion of Results - Location R3/R29

Monitoring results for R3/R29 were dominated by highway traffic that was constant for all six measurements conducted for the December 2018 survey. TGO mine noise was audible on three of six occasions, with mining contributions measured at <38dBA during the evening period on 3 December 2018 and both evening and night periods on 4 December 2018 which satisfied the noise limit of 40dBA LAeq(15min). Highway traffic, insects, idling highway traffic, TGO reverse alarms, dog bark and TGO crushing plant were audible during the measurements at R3/R29.

#### 5.3 Discussion of Results - Location R4

TGO mine noise was inaudible during all six measurements conducted from Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018 at R4. Therefore, the relevant noise limit of 36dBA LAeq(15min) was satisfied during the December 2018 period. Distant traffic, birds, insects, aircraft noise, livestock and wind were audible during the measurements at R4.

#### 5.4 Discussion of Results - Location R5

TGO mine noise remained inaudible during all six attended noise measurements at R5 for the December 2018 period. Therefore, relevant noise limits of 37dBA LAeq(15min) were satisfied. Highway traffic was the dominant source at this receiver with the other non-mining sources including insects, wind, birds and dog bark.



#### 5.5 Discussion of Results - Location R6

TGO mine noise was audible during one of six occasions throughout the December 2018 monitoring period at R6. TGO mine contribution was measured at 36dBA during the evening period on the 5 December 2018, therefore satisfying the relevant EPL noise limit of 36dBA LAeq(15min). Non-mining sources included distant traffic, insects, livestock and wind during the attended surveys.

#### 5.6 Discussion of Results - Location R23

TGO mine noise was audible during two of six occasions at R23 during the December 2018 period. TGO mine contribution was measured at <37dBA and <38dBA during the evening and night periods on the 4 December 2018, therefore remained in compliance with the relevant EPL criteria of 39dBA LAeq(15min). Audible sources included dog bark, highway traffic, insects, TGO crushing plant, idling highway traffic and wind.



#### 6 Comparison of Attended and Unattended Monitoring Results

To address Condition 6 of Schedule 3 of the Project Approval, a program to calibrate and validate the real-time noise monitoring results with the attended monitoring results has been completed.

The validation compares monthly attended monitoring results against the closest assessed unattended monitoring location. Currently, TGO has one unattended real-time monitoring terminal installed at the Brooklands property (nearest to R23). **Figure 1** identifies the location of the monitor with respect to the attended monitoring locations. It is noted that the Brooklands unattended monitor is situated 600m west of the attended noise monitoring location R23, therefore, background (LA90) noise levels are significantly lower due to offset distance to highway traffic.

A comparison of mine noise contributions between attended and unattended noise monitoring demonstrates a general consistency between attended and unattended results. It was noted that highway traffic noise influenced measured noise levels for this assessment. Furthermore, for December 2018, results remained below the relevant criteria for both attended and unattended locations.

**Table 8** provides a summary comparison of results between the attended and unattended noise surveys for R23.



Assessment	Time		escriptor re 20 µl		Criteria	Mine Noise	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL,
Type	(hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90		Contribution		dBA
					Monday 3 [	December 2018		
Attended	21:27	66	48	40	39	TGO Inaudible	Dir: SW 0.5 m/s -	Dog bark 43-58 Highway traffic 40-50
Unattended	21:20	48	42	40	39	TGO Inaudible	Stab Class: E	Insects
Attended	22:25	57	46	42	39	TGO Inaudible	Dir: SW 0.1 m/s -	Highway traffic 36-56 Insects <36
Unattended	22:20	52	41	40	39	TGO Inaudible	Stab Class: E	Insects
					Tuesday 4	December 2018		
Attended	21:17	53	43	35	39	<37	Dir: S 0.1 m/s	Highway traffic 37-53 Insects <35 TGO crushing plant <37 Idling highway traffic 36-38
Unattended	21:20	48	38	32	39	31	Stab Class: D -	Insects TGO crushing plant
Attended	22:35	64	49	41	39	<38	Dir: S 0.1 m/s	Highway traffic 40-64  Dog bark 38-43  TGO crushing plant <38  Idling highway traffic 38-41
Unattended	22:35	50	40	31	39	TGO Inaudible	Stab Class: E	Insects Dog bark Distant traffic
				V	Vednesday (	December 2018	8	
Attended	21:26	73	51	45	39	TGO Inaudible	Dir: ESE 2.5 m/s	Highway traffic 46-71 Idling highway traffic 46-50 Wind 46-61 Dog bark 50-56
Unattended	21:20	91	64	40	39	TGO Inaudible	Stab Class: D -	Wind Distant traffic
Attended	22:30	70	54	50	39	TGO Inaudible	Dir: ESE 2.5 m/s	Wind 46-62 Highway traffic 46-60 Idling highway traffic 46-50
Unattended	22:35	78	53	44	39	TGO	Stab Class: D	Wind

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



#### 7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment on behalf of Tomingley Gold Operations (TGO). The assessment was completed to provide monthly monitoring data so that TGO can actively quantify and manage site noise emissions.

Attended monitoring conducted from Monday 3 December 2018 to Wednesday 5 December 2018, identified that TGO mine noise was audible on several occasions, although did not exceed relevant limits during the December 2018 assessment period.



This page has been intentionally left blank



## Appendix A - Glossary of Terms



Several technical terms have been used in this report and are explained in **Table A1**.


Term	Description
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being
	twice the lower frequency limit.
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the NPI as a single figure background level
	for each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured
	L90 statistical noise levels.
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many
	sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human
	ear to noise.
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise,
	the most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the
	frequency response of the human ear.
dB(Z)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second
	equals 1 hertz.
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average
	of maximum noise levels.
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 $\%$ of the time.
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a
	source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.
LAmax	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone
	during a measuring interval.
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing
	each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the
	intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.
Sound power level (SWL)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a
	fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a
	measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by :
	= 10.log10 (W/Wo)
	Where: W is the sound power in watts and Wo is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.




**Table A2** provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.

Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA							
Source	Typical Sound Level						
Threshold of pain	140						
Jet engine	130						
Hydraulic hammer	120						
Chainsaw	110						
Industrial workshop	100						
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90						
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80						
Elevated speech	70						
Typical conversation	60						
Ambient suburban environment	40						
Ambient rural environment	30						
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20						
Threshold of hearing	0						

Figure A1 – Human Perception of Sound







ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com

